



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/500,292

06/29/2004

Gregory Antier

14756NP

9000,

293

7590

05/03/2007

Ralph A. Dowell of DOWELL & DOWELL P.C.

2111 Eisenhower Ave

Suite 406

Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER

HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3781

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/03/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/500,292 | ANTIER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Robin A. Hylton | 3781 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robin A. Hylton.

(3) Alyssa Finamore.

(2) Ralph Dowell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 7 and 8.


Identification of prior art discussed: Verter and Nightengale.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached, h) ☐ N/A. *but see below*

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was suggested to include the limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 and ensure the removal of the tamper-evident tag from the cap. Similarly, amending claim 7 may introduce new issues regarding 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. That matter will be addressed by applicant appropriately.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ROBIN A. HYLTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required